ID	Documents
7 KPMG Consulting is unable to replicate certain Metric values as reported by Verizon on the Carrier-to-  KPMG Consulting is unable to Active Under Review 11/13/00: Issued 11/16/00: Under analysis by Verizon. 11/22/00: Verizon stated this is still under analysis.	
replicate certain Metric values as reported by Verizon on the Carrier-to- 11/22/00: Under analysis by Verizon. 11/22/00: Verizon stated this is still under analysis.	
Carrier Report.    11/30/00: Verizon stated a written response would be sent by COB 12/1/00.     12/7/00: Verizon stated this is still under analysis.     12/14/00: KPMG Consulting has received Verizon's response and is reviewing it.     12/21/00: No change.     12/28/00: No change.     14/01: KPMG Consulting is finalizing its written response for release by 1/5/00.     1/5/01: KPMG Consulting response was resent to Verizon on 1/11/01 and is under analysis.     1/19/01: Under analysis by Verizon.     1/25/01: No change.     2/1/01: No change.     2/8/01: Verizon committed to a response by early next week.     2/12/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's written response – under analysis.     2/15/01: KPMG Consulting is awaiting delivery of reports due on February 26th to verify corrections are in place.     2/22/01: No change – discussion suspended.     3/8/01: No change.     3/8/01:	NJ Exception Report #7

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE EXCEPTIONS				
				discrepancies and develop its response. 3/29/01: No change. 4/4/01: KPMG Consulting provided its written response to Verizon. 4/5/01: Under analysis by Verizon. 4/12/01: No change. 4/19/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's response which is now under review.	
13	Verizon-New Jersey (Verizon-NJ) did not populate all required metrics in the KPMG Consulting CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier Report.	Active	Under Review	1/11/01: Issued 1/19/01: Under analysis by Verizon. 1/25/01: No change. 2/1/01: No change. 2/8/01: Verizon is drafting their written response 2/9/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's response, which is under review. 2/15/01: Verizon stated that MR-1-05 would be included in a "unworkable" filing with the NJ BPU. BPU expressed concern with data availability not until the March report period. 2/22/01: KPMG Consulting continues to review Verizon's response. 3/1/01: KPMG Consulting is awaiting data from the Feb/March data month which will then be reviewed – discussion suspended. 3/8/01: No change. 3/15/01: No change. 3/29/01: KPMG Consulting has received the necessary data which is under review – discussion suspended pending completion of the review. 4/5/01: KPMG Consulting is not noting the required metrics are being properly populated and will conduct further analysis. Verizon stated that the data will be populated on the March data	NJ Exception Report #13

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE EXCEPTIONS				
				month report.  4/12/01: Under analysis by KPMG Consulting. The March data will arrive on April 25 <sup>th</sup> .  4/19/01: Discussion suspended pending receipt and analysis of March data by KPMG Consulting.	
20	Verizon – New Jersey's (Verizon-NJ) metrics change notification process is deficient.	Active	Under Review	<ul> <li>2/8/01: Issued.</li> <li>2/15/01: Verizon is drafting their written response.</li> <li>2/20/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's written response.</li> <li>2/22/01: KPMG Consulting continues its review and will request clarification of some issues from Verizon.</li> <li>3/1/01: KPMG Consulting has additional clarifying questions which will be discussed with Verizon. KPMG Consulting continues its analysis.</li> <li>3/8/01: KPMG Consulting continues its analysis.</li> <li>3/9/01: KPMG Consulting issued its written reply to Verizon with additional questions.</li> <li>3/15/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's written reply which is under analysis.</li> <li>3/22/01: KPMG Consulting continues its analysis of additional clarification information provided by Verizon.</li> <li>3/29/01: No change.</li> <li>4/5/01: No change.</li> <li>4/12/01: KPMG Consulting is preparing its response which is in internal review.</li> <li>4/19/01: KPMG Consulting requested a copy of the Dec. 26<sup>th</sup> issue log with highlights of where exactly the changes mentioned in E20 can be found. KPMG Consulting will provide an update to the exception next week.</li> </ul>	NJ Exception Report #20

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE EXCEPTIONS				
21	The confirmed due dates Verizon-NJ returned on Local Service Request Local Responses (LSRLRs) do not match the due dates KPMG Consulting requested on Local Service Requests (LSRs).	Active	Under Review	<ul> <li>2/19/01: Issued as a result of the escalation of Observation #88 which is now closed.</li> <li>2/22/01: Under analysis by Verizon.</li> <li>3/1/01: No change.</li> <li>3/8/01: Verizon committed to a response by COB this day.</li> <li>3/14/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's written reply which is under analysis.</li> <li>3/15/01: KPMG Consulting continues its analysis and should have a reply by early next week.</li> <li>3/29/01: KPMG Consulting continues developing its response.</li> <li>4/5/01: KPMG Consulting is conducting retesting related to this Exception.</li> <li>4/12/01: KPMG Consulting stated that a retest is in progress. The 2/17 fix implemented by Verizon has been found OK and there are issues surrounding the 3/17 implementation. Verizon agreed and stated that additional corrections would be implemented on April 21st. Verizon also committed to sending a revised written response (by COB 4/13) based on this new issue.</li> <li>4/19/01: KPMG Consulting will conduct a retest following the April 21st release implementation.</li> </ul>	NJ Exception Report #21
22	Verizon-NJ rendered bills to the KPMG CLEC reflecting incorrect recurring and one-time charges for Unbundled Cross Connect-Virtual (USOC UECU2) and Manual Loop Qualification (USOC NR937) related to Line Sharing.	Active	Under Review	<ul> <li>4/9/01: Issued.</li> <li>4/12/01: KPMG Consulting received Verizon's response which is under review. KPMG Consulting requested clarification of where to locate a certain charge.</li> <li>4/19/01: KPMG Consulting agrees with Verizon on the first issue – the 0.84 cent charge is correct.</li> </ul>	NJ Exception Report #22

	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
ID					Documents
	ACTIVE EXCEPTIONS				
				KPMG Consulting is reviewing the "manual loop qualification" portion. We believed all the KPMG Consulting lines were "pre-qualified" lines and therefore no manual loop charges would apply.	